

FEB 19 2003

S&H Form (01/03)

**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Attorney Docket No. | 826.1553 |
| Application Number | 09/348,165 |
| Filing Date | July 7, 1999 |
| First Named Inventor | Akira NAKAGAWA et al. |
| Group Art Unit | 2613 |

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2003

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

930.00

Examiner Name

Allen C. WONG

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 01/01/03)

Technology Center 2600

| CLAIMS AS AMENDED | Claims Remaining After Amendment | Highest Number Previously Paid For | Number Extra | Rate | Calculations |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| TOTAL CLAIMS | 14 | - 20 = | 0 | X \$ 18.00 = | \$ 0.00 |
| INDEPENDENT CLAIMS | 8 | - 9 = | 0 | X \$ 84.00 = | 0.00 |

Since an Official Action set an original due date of November 16, 2002, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$410); 3 months (\$930); 4 months (\$1,450); 5 months (\$1,970)):

930.00

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$320)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 930.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 930.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☒ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☐ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:
- Deposit Account No. 19-3935
- Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP
- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name John C. Garvey

Reg. No.

28,607

Signature

Date

2-19-03

©2001 Staas & Halsey LLP



6/A
BA 2/25/03

Docket No.: 826.1553

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Akira NAKAGAWA, et al.

Serial No. 09/348,165

Group Art Unit: 2613

Confirmation No. 4844

Filed: July 7, 1999

Examiner: Allen C. WONG

For: MOTION VECTOR ENCODING DEVICE AND DECODING DEVICE

AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2003

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed August 16, 2002, and having a period for response set to expire on November 16, 2002. A Petition for a three-month extension of time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period for response to February 16, 2003. February 17, 2003, was a holiday and the USPTO was closed February 18, 2003. Therefore, this Amendment is timely filed on February 19, 2003.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please REPLACE the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 18, with the following paragraph:

As a high efficiency coding method for moving image data, an interframe predictive coding is known. This coding method takes advantage of the nature that the degree of correlation of moving image data is high in a time direction. Namely, the degree of similarity between frame data of moving image data at certain timing and that at the next timing is normally high in many cases. Therefore, the interframe predictive coding utilizes this nature. For example, in a data transmission system using the interframe predictive coding, a transmitting device generates motion vector data which represents a motion from an image in a preceding frame to an image in a target frame, and difference data (predictive error) between a predicted image in the target frame, which is generated from the image in the preceding frame by using

AI
cont

02/21/2003 RHEBRIGHT 00000058 09348165
930.00 00
01 FC:1253